

FASB/IASB Insurance Accounting Project

Actuaries' Club of Hartford & Springfield

2014 Annual Meeting

May 21, 2014

Rowen B. Bell, FSA, MAAA

A Health Actuary's Journey...

The Hobbit, or There And Back Again

- J.R.R. Tolkien

A Health Actuary's Journey...

“Lately it occurs to me: What a long, strange trip it's been....”

- The Grateful Dead, *Truckin'*

A Health Actuary's Journey...

IASB Discussion Paper / FASB ITC

- 2007 talk at SOA Health Meeting (Seattle)
- 2008 paper in SOA Actuarial Practice Forum

FASB Discussion Paper / IASB ED

- 2010 talk at SOA Valuation Actuary Symposium (Chicago)

FASB Exposure Draft / IASB Revised ED

- 2013 talk at SOA Annual Meeting (San Diego)

2007

- “Entity-specific cash flows”
 - Accounting model was “current exit value”
 - Under current exit value, liability measurement was supposed to exclude impact of cash flows that would not arise for another entity holding the same obligation
 - Are provider discounts examples of entity-specific cash flows? And if so, how would that impact claim liability measurement?

2007

- “Guaranteed Insurability”
 - At this time, guaranteed insurability (GI) was the main criterion for determining which premiums/claims to include (and which to exclude) in liability calculation
 - Defined as “a right that permits continued coverage without reconfirmation of the policyholder’s risk profile and at a price that is contractually constrained”
 - What impacts “contractually constrained”?
 - Regulatory caps on rate increases?
 - Voluntary (i.e., non-regulatory) rate guarantees?

2007

- Claim liability calculation
 - Explicit cash flows (i.e., may need to formally complete the triangle, not just project ultimate incurreds)
 - Multiple scenarios with probability weights?
 - Discounting
 - Margins [see 2008]

2007

- “Pre-claims liability” calculation
 - Analogous to active life reserves from long-duration contracts – but, pertinent to short-duration as well
 - Intra-year claims seasonality + level premiums = non-zero current exit value of portfolio
 - PCL as a vehicle for smoothing the expected emergence of profit over the policy year
 - PCL oscillation between positive and negative
 - PCL balance for a portfolio dependent on mix of business by renewal date, and on benefit seasonality

2008

- Margin in claims liability under current exit value
 - Risk margin vs. service margin
 - Calibration of margins to premiums, as a means of avoiding recognition of gains at issuance
- Current practice = % of base liability estimate
- Bell's formula (now obsolete!) for claim liability margins had the following characteristics:
 - Expected compensation for non-risk services for a specific coverage month is recognized as claims paid
 - Expected compensation for risk for a specific coverage month is recognized in proportion to release from risk
 - Calibrate margins to pricing profit target

2008

- Inputs to Bell's formula:
 - Pricing profit margin, decomposed into portion for bearing risk and portion for non-risk services (i.e., services an ASO customer would receive)
 - Vector of "risk release factors", reflecting how the insurer's ability to estimate the ultimate incurred claims for a given month evolves over time
 - The liability estimate before margin is an input to the service component; corresponding input to the risk component is the ultimate incurreds
- Higher implied margins than current practice

2010

- Move from “current exit value” to “current fulfillment value” as accounting model
 - Took “entity-specific cash flows” concerns off table
- “Guaranteed insurability” criterion replaced with new definition of “contract boundary”
 - “The point at which the insurer either
 - (a) is no longer required to provide coverage, or
 - (b) has the right or practical ability to reassess the risk of the particular policyholder and, as a result, can set a price that fully reflects that risk”

2010

- Movement towards two distinct models (today called BBA vs. PAA)
 - Length of coverage period, defined via contract boundary, is key to determining which model to use
 - Measurement & presentation differences between the two models
- In the “short-duration” model, no pre-claims liability, but instead a notion of unearned premium reserve
 - More like P&C UEPR than typical health UEPR
 - Rev rec can be non-linear to reflect expected pattern of incurred claims timing

2010

- New issue: Unbundling (aka bifurcation)
 - Situation where an insurance contract has a component that would be accounted for under a separate standard if it were a standalone contract, and is “not closely related to the insurance coverage”
 - Need to “unbundle” that component and account for it separately
 - So – for health insurance, are the claims admin & network services (i.e., the portions of the contract that would get sold separately as ASO) “closely related” to the insurance coverage?

2013

- Contract boundary definition – resolution
 - New criterion that looks at the portfolio (not just the policy) and the entity's right or practical ability to reassess the portfolio's risk and set a price or level of benefits that reflects that risk
 - Generally speaking, it works, i.e.:
 - Products where premium structure involves prefunding use the BBA (long-duration model)
 - Products where each year's premiums are intended to stand alone use the PAA (short-duration model)
- Concerns about multi-year rate guarantees

2013

- Liability for incurred claims
 - A hybrid of IBNR and LAE
 - But, no margin! – All expected profit intended to be recognized over coverage period, not over coverage period + claims settlement period
- Liability for remaining coverage
 - Like UEPR, but covering the entire contract period, with an offsetting asset for future premiums
 - Change in LRC is vehicle for recognizing revenue
 - Can have non-level rev rec to match claims timing

2013

- “Estimated returnable amounts”
 - An amount that the insurer is required to repay the policyholder/beneficiary, independent of whether an insured event occurs
 - An ERA gets removed from income statement, but remains on balance sheet
 - Classical example = CSV of whole life policy
 - However: Contractual experience refunding mechanisms are deemed to give rise to ERAs
 - What about similar regulatory mechanisms, e.g., ACA MLR rebate requirements?

A Health Actuary's Journey...

“After changes upon changes, we are more or less the same...”

- Simon & Garfunkel, *The Boxer*

A Health Actuary's Journey...

- February 2014: FASB votes 5-2 to pare back the insurance contracts project
 - “Targeted improvements” to US GAAP, rather than attempted integration with IASB standard
 - For short-duration contracts, “the Board decided to limit the targeted improvements to enhanced disclosures”

A Health Actuary's Journey...

***Dr. Strangelove, or How I Learned To Stop
Worrying And Love Current US GAAP***

- with apologies to Stanley Kubrick

A Health Actuary's Journey...

Much Ado About Nothing

- William Shakespeare